



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/613,053

07/07/2003

Jun Imamura

54-05A

6718

23713 7590 03/08/2007
GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C
4875 PEARL EAST CIRCLE
SUITE 200
BOULDER, CO 80301

EXAMINER

FOX, DAVID T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1638

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/08/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,053	IMAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Fox	1638	

All Participants:

(1) David T. Fox.

(2) Sally A. Sullivan.

Status of Application: response to non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 first paragraph; art

Claims discussed:

amendment of 06 February, esp claims 59,65-69,72

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Hybridization conditions in claim 59 part 5 read on low stringency (2X SSC wash), so 112 first para and art rejections maintained. Multiple amino acid substitutions in claim 59 part 3, and claims 65-69, necessitate maintenance of 112 first para and art rejections. Claim 66 requires examination of non-elected sequences 26-27, which are variable consensus sequences. Claim 72 does not recite duration of washing or temperature, so still reads on low stringency. Specification amendments of 06 February contained typographical errors. Examiner to submit content for suggested supplemental amendments to spec and claims, to place application in allowable condition. NO FEE REQUIRED FOR SUBMISSION OF SAID SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT. .